UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/689,149	10/20/2003	Miri Seiberg	3282-P02872US04	6375	
DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN 1601 MARKET STREET SUITE 2400 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2307			EXAMINER		
			PACKARD, BENJAMIN J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1612		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/689,149	SEIBERG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Denjamin Fackard	1012	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 March 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 operiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS			∍ appeal. Since a
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		ΓE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE beld	, ·		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reig	acted claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		soled claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment (DTOL -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant Amenament (1 1 OL-324).
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	nowabie ii submitted iii a separate,	amery med americanier	it carroening the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,9,13,17-20,29 and 33</u> .	04.00 4.00.00		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>5-8,10-12,14-16,3</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>21-28 and 30-32</u> .		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Frederick Krass/	/Benjamin Packard/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1612	Examiner, Art Unit 1612		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants have amended independent claim 1 to include the new limitation of being a "non-denatured, Kunitz-type soybean trypsin inhbitior", which was not previously considered with respect to the claims, other than claim 33. Additional consideration and possible additional searching may be required where the limitation narrows the claimed invention to what Applicants assert overcomes the obviousness rejection, given claim 33 was directed to a method which reads on a single application of a composition with 0.01-99% by weight of the non-denatured soy product, versus the specific treatment pattern of claim 4, which requires an extended administration period with a composition with a narrower range of soy product.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are based upon non-entered amendments, and thus are moot at this time.